

(6)

cf 7001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 602 OF 2003

BETWEEN

1. Akbar Hussain son of Maqbool Hussain, aged about 35 years, resident of Village Ban Barad, Teen Batti Chowk, Ward No.13, P.S. Nandini, District Durg (CG).
2. Bal Ram Soni son of Baijnath Soni, aged about 36 years, resident of Laxminarayan Mandir, Polsai Para Durg (CG).
3. Fakira Lal son of Jaipal Gadewal, aged 41 years, resident of Village Ahiwara Ward No.6, House No. 306, P.S. Nandini, District Durg (CG).
4. Ram Kumar Sahu son of Bhondu Ram Sahu, aged about 41 years, resident of Ward No.7 Ahiwara, P.S. Nandini District Durg (CG).
5. Gopal Das son of Gyan Das Manikpuri, aged about 35 years, resident of Ganesh Kirana Store Kasaridih, Durg, District Durg (CG).
6. Virendra prasad Upadhyay son of Mahadeo Prasad Upadhyay, aged about 42 years, resident of Phase I, Quarter No.72, Maitri Nagar, Bhilai, District Durg.
7. Jayanti Lal Sahu son of Chand Ram Sahu, aged about 35 years, resident of Village and Post Kasdol District Raipur (CG).

PETITIONERS

A N D

1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary Department of Transport ,
Mantralay, D.K.S.Building, Raipur, Tehsil and
District Raipur (CG).
2. The Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development
Corporation, D.K.Square ,Near Mantralay, Raipur,
Tehsil and District Raipur (CG).
3. Unit Incharge,
Chhattisgarh Infra Structure Development
Corporation Durg, Office at Old M.P.S.R.T.C.
Depot Durg, Tehsil and District Durg (CG).
4. The Madhya Pradesh State Road Transport
Corporation,
Through its Managing Director,
Habib Ganj, Bhopal,
Tehsil and District Bhopal (MP).

RESPONDENTS



RECEIVED 30/01/03
30-1-03
CLERK TO A.C. RAIPUR

7
:: 02 ::

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

petitioners :

117

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 602/2003

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27.01.2004 Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State. The case is listed on special mention. Heard. Shri Agrawal submits that he has also filed Vakalatnama to appear and argue on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3. The petitioners' grievance is that they were working as 'Badli Drivers' in the erstwhile MPSRTC for the past 7-8 years. However, they have not been giving any work since 1.1.2003. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that certain persons are allowed to work in the same department and the petitioners are not allowed to work. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this petition within a month from today before the competent authority and on	

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 602/2008

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	such representation being filed, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law after hearing the parties. In case any grievance still subsists, the petitioners may avail remedy available under the law. With the direction aforesaid, this petition is disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

vatti/-